

APPROFEJ PTO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT CHARGE

ACCOUNT # 04-1733

DUNLAP & CODDING, P.C.
PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| TRANSMITTAL FORM | | Application Number | 09/097,337 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Filing Date | 10/26/2000 | | | |
| | | First Named Inventor | Charles C. Freeny, Jr. | | | |
| (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) | | Group Art Unit | 2131 | | | |
| | | Examiner Name | Unknown | | | |
| Total Number of Pages in This Subm | ission /4 | Attorney Docket Number | 2551.049 | | | |
| | ENCL | OSURES (check | all that apply) | | | |
| X Fee Transmittal Form | Drawing Licensin Petition Petition Provisio Change Address Termina Reques CD, Nu Remarks 1. Information Idsdc 2. Information Discdc 3. Fee Transmittal f 4. Fee Transmittal f 6. Fee Transmittal f | to Convert to a anal Application of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence al Disclaimer at for Refund mber of CD(s) Josure Statement (8 pgs) soure Statement (9 pgs) soure Statement (9 pgs) or FY 2001 PTO/SB/17 (1 pg) | After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter X Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): SEE REMARKS | | | |
| under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | 6. Authorization to c 7. 8. | harge indicated fees and credit any ov | rerpyament to Deposit Account | | | |
| SIGNATU | JRE OF APPLI | CANT, ATTORNEY, OR | AGENT | | | |
| Firm Dunlap, Codding & F | Rogers, P.C., 940 | 0 North Broadway, Suite 420 |), Oklahoma City, OK 73114 | | | |
| Individual name Marc A. Brockhaus, Reg. No. 40,923 | | | | | | |
| Signature Marc | Brocht | aus | | | | |
| Signature Marc Brothhaus Date 3-27-2001 | | | | | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF MAILING | | | | | | |
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail or U.S. Express mail no. in an envelope addressed to the address below on this date: 03/27/2001 | | | | | | |
| Typed or printed name Marc A. Bro | ckhaus | | | | | |
| Signature Man | Brook | have Dat | a 7-27-20c1 | | | |

SEND TO: BOX IDS COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON D.C. 20231

PTO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT O MARCE

ACCOUNT#U4-1/00 ##4

DUNLAP & CODDING PTO 18B/17 (11-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE aperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2001

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

| /ዊነ | ſ |
|-----|---|
| (Ψ) | • |

| C mplete if Known | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 09/697,557 | | | |
| 10/26/2000 | 74, | | |
| Charles C. Freeny, Jr | Toch | | |
| Unknown | ochnole. | | |
| 2131 | | | |
| 2551.049 | | | |
| | 09/697,557 10/26/2000 Charles C. Freeny, Jr Unknown 2131 | | |

| METHOD OF PAYMENT | FEE CALCULATION (continued) | | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| 1. X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overnoyments to: | 3. ADDITIONAL FEES | | | | |
| indicated fees and credit any overpayments to: Deposit | Large Small | | | | |
| Account 04-1700 Number | Entity Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description | Fee Paid | | | |
| Deposit | Code (\$) Code (\$) | 1001 alu | | | |
| Account Name Dunlap, Codding & Rogers, P.C. | 105 130 205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath | | | | |
| X Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 | 127 50 227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet | | | | |
| Applicant claims small entity status. | 139 130 139 130 Non-English specification | | | | |
| See 37 CFR 1.27 2. Payment Enclosed: | 147 2,520 147 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination | | | | |
| Check Credit card Money Course | 112 920* 112 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action | | | | |
| FEE CALCULATION | 113 1,840* 113 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action | | | | |
| 1. BASIC FILING FEE | 115 110 215 55 Extension for reply within first month | | | | |
| Large Entity Small Entity | 116 390 216 195 Extension for reply within second month | | | | |
| Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid | 117 890 217 445 Extension for reply within third month | | | | |
| 101 710 201 355 Utility filing fee | 118 1,390 218 695 Extension for reply within fourth month | | | | |
| 106 320 206 160 Design filing fee | 128 1,890 228 945 Extension for reply within fifth month | | | | |
| 107 490 207 245 Plant filing fee | 119 310 219 155 Notice of Appeal | | | | |
| 108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee | 120 310 220 155 Filing a brief in support of an appeal | | | | |
| 114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee | 121 270 221 135 Request for oral hearing | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0 | 138 1,510 138 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding | | | | |
| | 140 110 240 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable | | | | |
| 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Fee from | 141 1,240 241 620 Petition to revive - unintentional | | | | |
| Extra Claims below Fee Paid | 142 1,240 242 620 Utility issue fee (or reissue) | | | | |
| Total Claims -20** = X = 0 | 143 440 243 220 Design issue fee | | | | |
| Claims Claims | 144 600 244 300 Plant issue fee | | | | |
| Multiple Dependent | 122 130 122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner | | | | |
| Lorgo Fathy 6 H F 44 | 123 50 123 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) | | | | |
| Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description | 126 180 126 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt | | | | |
| Code (\$) Code (\$) 103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20 | 581 40 581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties) | | | | |
| 102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3 | 146 710 246 355 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a)) | | | | |
| 104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 109 80 209 40 ** Reissue independent claims | 149 710 249 355 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b)) | | | | |
| over original patent | 179 710 279 355 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) | | | | |
| 110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent | 169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0 | of a design application Other fee (specify) | | | | |
| **or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above | *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0 | | | | |

| SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------------|
| Name (Print/Type) | Marc A. Brockhaus | Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) | 40,923 | Telephone | (405) 478-5344 |
| Signature | mare Brockhaus | | | Date | 03/27/2001 |

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.



0320-0230 (ATTY, DKT, NO. 2551,049

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Technology Center 2100

09/697,557

Filed:

Applicant:

Serial No.:

October 26, 2000

Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

For:

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER

SYSTEM

5/24/01

Art Unit: 2131

Box IDS Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

List of Sections Forming Part of This Information Disclosure Statement

The following sections are being submitted for this Information Disclosure Statement:

- 1. [X] **Preliminary Statements**
- 2. Forms PTO/SB/08A and 08B (formerly Form PTO-1449) [X]
- Statement as to Information Not Found in Patents or 3. [X]**Publications**
- 4. [X] Identification of Prior Application
- Copies of Listed Information Items Accompanying this 5. [X] Statement
- 6. Identification of Person(s) Making this Information Disclosure Statement



Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

Serial No.: Atty. Dkt. No.: 09/697,557 2551.049

Section 1. Preliminary Statements

Applicant submits herewith patents, publications or other information of which he is aware, which he believes may be material to the examination of this application and in respect of which there may be a duty to disclose.

The filing of this information disclosure statement shall not be construed as a representation that a search has been made (37 C.F.R. § 1.97(g)), an admission that the information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability or that no other material information exists.

The filing of this information disclosure statement shall not be construed as an admission against interest in any manner. Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 25.

Section 2. Forms PTO/SB/08A and 08B (formerly Form PTO-1449)

[X] A completed Form PTO/SB/08A and/or Form PTO/SB/08B is attached hereto.

Section 3. Statement as to Information Not Found in Patents or Publications (Information Not Listed in Form PTO-1449)

The present invention relates to methods for selling, authorizing, collecting, distributing payments, and maintaining proximity services from a central location wherein the proximity services are provided at remote locations. More particularly, but not by way of limitation, the present invention relates to a proximity service provider system (PSPS) that allows users of Proximity service systems to sign up for the service, operators of proximity service systems to receive maintenance information, and owners of proximity service systems to get paid without having to have separate communication networks and methods to obtain these separate services. Proximity service systems require a person to be within predetermined close distances, such as 200 feet, to the proximity service systems in order to receive a service therefrom. Examples of proximity service systems are physical POS stations, ATM machines, toll gates, gas pumps, kiosks, pay phones, vending machines and parking meters to name a few.

Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

Title:

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

Serial No.:

09/697,557

Atty. Dkt. No.: 2551.049



Problems to be Solved:

The problems to be solved are related to the proximity services for such proximity service systems as kiosk machines, vending machines, ATM's and toll and access systems being implemented for the convenience of the mobile consumer. Most of these proximity service systems have their own special activation devices and methods of payment and means for the operators to maintain the proximity service systems. In most cases these proximity service systems are either operated by coins or cards of some type. Wireless activation devices, such as toll tags, gate and garage openers are often used when vehicles are involved. Except for credit card payments, most proximity service systems have their own activation and payment means and will not operate with other activation and/or devices. Even in the case of credit card payment methods, both consumers and owners are obliged to carry or accept many types of cards (e.g. Visa, MasterCard, American Express, Discover, Diners Club, plus five merchant cards, plus five gas cards for a total of 15 cards is typical). Also, the means for authorizing and collecting for these proximity service systems are typically done over networks designed separately for the owner.

In recent years there have evolved service companies servicing merchants that accept most credit and debit cards and can even authorize check payments. These services certainly help both the consumer and merchant and have facilitated more proximity service growth. However, the available authorization and collection services are only directed at retail POS systems (both physical and cyber services) and they do not let a consumer sign up for the service but only collect payment for the merchant from the consumer's card.

Another recent system for universal credit is called "Proton" (the details are at www.proton.be), which uses a smart card to pay at the merchants site once the card has been verified to be valid. However, this is just a replacement for the credit card and requires the customer to carry cash in the card rather than the merchant and credit card company giving credit to the customer for 30 days. The smart card is good for merchants but not so good for customers. There are several virtual credit cards a person can apply for to make purchases in cyber stores, similar to card services that allow purchases in physical stores but they can not be used in physical stores and again, the person registers for the cyber card, not for a service.

Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

Title:

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

Serial No.:

09/697,557

Atty. Dkt. No.: 2551.049

In summary, regarding the prior art credit card payment and collection systems, consumers have to sign up for cards of some type and hope merchants accept the cards they have. That is, the consumer does not sign up for the service, they sign up for a device. Also the technology has made it so easy for each merchant to have a card or device system for offering "purchase points" as a way of attracting repeat business, the proliferation of devices carried by the consumer is huge. The number of cards total into the thousands in this country alone, when regional merchants cards are included. But again, the consumer actually signs up for a card or device that allows them to receive the service, they do not sign up for the service itself.

With other types of proximity service systems such as toll tags, gate and garage access, vehicle access using wireless activators and payment methods, virtually no commonality exists. Combining these devices with the new wireless communication device revolution involving cell phones, pagers, and now, hand held wireless digital devices, a consumer is faced with a formidable array of physical devices (along with remembering their authorization codes) when leaving the home prepared to function each day. The means to service all of these devices with a common approach has not ever been attempted except in futuristic concepts such as the "piano software platform" Motorola announced this year involving the development of a software platform so wireless devices could operate on a network such as the Internet in the future.

There are several reasons proximity service provider systems have not been designed before now, but probably the main reason is that owners of these various systems, such as the tolling authorities, parking garage owner, paging company, cell and pager communication operators, are primarily trying to increase their customer bases by offering more services. They are not working to solve the commonality problems with other services, as the credit card industry did. Commonality problems exist in terms of devices, activation methods, collection methods, marketing, maintenance, and operation procedures for the various services. The FCC regulations, of course, help in terms of device signal commonality, but not regarding the styles, activation, payment, and collection means across the wireless device utilization spectrum. All of the other commonality problems have barely been addressed in the proximity service industry other than adopting credit card payment methods into most collection systems.

A number of specialized service systems designed for owners of the proximity systems such as vending machines connected by networks like the Denmark company "DIKU" that connected a coke machine to the Internet so the owners could check the sales

Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

Title: Serial No.: PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

09/697,557

Atty. Dkt. No.: 2551.049

and inventory status from a web-site (1997 time frame). Another example is the "Harvest system" (can be reviewed at www.harvest.com) designed for the Coca Cola Company starting in 1990 to monitor their machines in terms of sales and inventory over a private network. More recently on-line vending in the UK have networks being established similar to harvest .com run by GPT Telemetry whereby an owner can connect his vending machine and obtain data regarding sales. There have been parking lots and meter electronic management systems designed that use various collection and maintenance means for the owners but none that offer a parking lot or meter service for the consumer (other than issuing access cards). The same is true in the electronic lock industry where all the service systems are designed privately for the owners and not the consumers. The leaders in this field primarily involve hotel systems that issue electronic door keys to new customers.

The above problem discussion has focused primarily on the fact that today, consumers can only sign up for devices (physical or virtual) not services, even though the person only wants a service. This is true even in the case of access services such as automatic toll gates, hotel rooms, subway debit cards, etc. There are similar problems and disparities when the focus is on the operating costs the owners have because they force the customer to use special activation and payment means rather than accept common devices or methods. This has hampered the vehicle access systems for years because authorization, activation and payment methods have not been common among the operating systems. Thus, not only is it very inconvenient for the customer, the cost of operation has remained very high because service companies can not make a profit operating the various access systems for the owners. Consequently, there are hardly any service companies (other than the individual owners) that the owners and operators of these other proximity service systems can use to lower their cost, as for example, in the POS systems discussed above. Thus in all cases: 1.) the consumer can only sign up for more devices if they want more services; 2.) for services other than POS, the owners cannot even sign up for the collection service except using specialized collection systems designed for devices such as toll tags or gate and parking cards; and 3.) the operators of the proximity service systems have no service center for maintenance and inventory control other than those specialized for the owners centered around collections.

Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

Title:

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

Serial No.: Atty. Dkt. No.: 2551.049

09/697.557

Prior art:

Some of the prior art for services involving the consumer, owner or the proximity system operator were reviewed in discussing the problem. An apparatus and methods for monitoring and communicating with a plurality of networked remote vending machines is disclosed by Konsmo in Patent No. 5,844,808, to help owners monitor the state of their remote vending systems. However, this system does not allow customers to sign up for proximity services supplied by the owners.

A system described by Gomm in Patent No. 5,753,899 allows smart cards to be accepted at owner vending machines and can be used entirely for the purchase or to supplement the customer's coins by rounding the machine up or down to the closest amount accepted by the machine for the selected item.

Yet another payment system for cards is disclosed by Muehlberger in Patent No. 5,285,382, where the system only validated remotely for transactions exceeding \$2.00. The less than \$2.00 fraudulent transactions were considered to be acceptable by the owner in favor of not having to get remote approval for every transaction.

A networked parking meter system is disclosed in Patent No. 5,648,906, wherein a vehicle was sensed automatically and a meter would be reset, for example, when the vehicle left the parking space.

Again, none of the cited art discussed systems that allow both the owner of proximity service systems and the customer to sign up for services and/or allow local transactions to be validated locally by fraudulent detecting means. The state of the art for networked services to help more than one participant is best described in Fortune magazine September 1999 on pages 215 - 224, wherein a summary of services for both hardware operations and software operations that can be obtained today or will be available in the near future were listed. The list is not complete, but does indicate that web based services for application software, "Rent-AN-App" and "Rent a Whole Service" are just emerging and range from rent a software program to a service for purchasing products for companies. The closest service available on the published list to the type disclosed herein is that of Bidcom (www.bidcom.com), in which construction companies services are offered. Bidcom provides information services for employee and managers, such as schedules, blueprints, work permits, etc. associated with a construction project. The cited example, along with the other services listed are nothing more than moving services to the

Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

Title:

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

Serial No.:

09/697,557

Atty. Dkt. No.: 2551.049

WEB, that have been offered inside the company for years in order make access much more convenient and possibly allow out sourcing by companies not wanting to maintain their own internal service. Such changes are certainly steps in the right direction, but do not address the problems discussed above.

Web-site services for finding and purchasing products and services abound on the web, but most sites do nothing more than move physical stores and services to the cyber world. In fact, most web-sites do nothing more than convert a lot of proximity services, such as retail stores, to non proximity services so that the consumer does not have to be within a predetermined distance of the service to purchase a product and/or get the service. This explains, in part, the rapid growth of cyber stores, because this conversion in itself is a huge convenience for the consumer.

Section 4. Identification of Prior Application

This application relies, under 35 U.S.C. § 120, on the earlier filing date of prior application Serial No. 60/161,883 , filed on 10/27/1999 (date).

[X] This application also relies, under 35 U.S.C. 120, on the earlier filing date of prior application Serial No. 60/186,874, filed on 03/03/2000 (date).

Section 5. Copies of Listed Information Items Accompanying this Statement

Legible copies of all items listed in Forms PTO/SB/08A and 08B (formerly Form PTO-1449) accompany this information disclosure statement.



Charles C. Freeny, Jr.

PROXIMITY SERVICE PROVIDER SYSTEM

Serial No.: Atty. Dkt. No.: 09/697,557 2551.049



Section 6. Identification of Person(s) Making this INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The person making this statement is the attorney who signs below on the basis of the information:

- [X] supplied by the inventor(s)
- [] supplied by an individual associated with the filing and prosecution of this application (37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c)).
- [X] in the attorney's file

Respectfully submitted,

Marc A. Brockhaus, Reg. No. 40,923 DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS, P.C.

mare Brockhaus

9400 North Broadway, Suite 420 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73114

(405) 478-5344 - telephone (405) 478-5349 - telefax

Attorney for Applicant